1	BEFORE THE				
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION				
3	IN THE MATTER OF: )				
4	KODJO APEDO N'DOAGNI, )				
5	Complainant, )				
6	vs. ) No. 06-0545				
7	AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF ) ILLINOIS, INC., )				
8	)				
9	Respondent. )				
9	Complaint as to overcharging )				
10	in Chicago, Illinois.				
11	a)				
12	Chicago, Illinois September 6, 2006				
13	Met, pursuant to notice, at 2:00 p.m.				
14	BEFORE:				
15	Ms. Claudia Sainsot, Administrative Law Judge				
16	APPEARANCES:				
17	MR. KODJO APEDO N'DOAGNI 917 East Wood Avenue				
18	Apartment 306				
19	for pro se;				
20	MR. DAVID CHORZEMPA 225 West Randolph Suite 25-D				
21	Chicago, Illinois 60606				
22	for AT&T Communications of Illinois, Inc.;				

1	APPEARANCES: (CONT.)
2	MR. JAMES HUTTENHOWER 225 West Randolph Street
3	Suite 25-D Chicago, Illinois 60606
4	for Illinois Bell Telephone Company.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
15	Francisco E. Castaneda, CSR, License No. 084-004235
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	$\underline{I} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{D} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{X}$						
2	Witnesses:	Dirogt	Crogg	Re-			
3	NONE.	DITECT	CIOSS	direct	CIOSS	Examiner	
4							
5							
6							
7		Tr.	V U T	рт т (	7		
8	N mala a sa			BITS		Tro Decidor co	
9	Number	FOL	NONE.	ificatio	<u>)11</u>	<u>In Evidence</u>	
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							

- 1 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: By the
- 2 authority vested in me by the Illinois Commerce
- 3 Commission, I now call Docket No. 06-0545, and it is
- 4 the complaint of Mr. Apedo N'Doagni. Am I saying
- 5 that correctly?
- 6 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 7 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. I'm
- 8 working on it so tell me if it's not right.
- 9 Versus SBC local.
- 10 Will the parties identify themselves
- 11 for the record. And we'll need you to start off
- 12 giving your name and address and telephone number.
- 13 MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: My address is 917 East
- 14 Wood Avenue. My apartment is 306. My telephone
- 15 number is (773) 271-0358.
- 16 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. And
- 17 counsel.
- 18 MR. CHORZEMPA: On behalf of AT&T
- 19 Communications of Illinois, Inc., which we believe
- 20 the clerk misnamed as the respondent in this case,
- 21 David Chorzempa, 222 West Randolph -- 225 West
- 22 Randolph, Suite 25-D, Chicago, Illinois 60606.

- 1 MR. HUTTENHOWER: James Huttenhower,
- 2 H-u-t-t-e-n-h-o-w-e-r, appearing on behalf of
- 3 Illinois Bell Telephone Company, 225 West Randolph
- 4 Street, Suite 25-D, Chicago, Illinois 60606.
- 5 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay
- 6 Mr. Chorzempa, do you have a motion?
- 7 MR. CHORZEMPA: Yes. I motion to replace and
- 8 remove AT&T Communication of Illinois, Inc., as a
- 9 respondent in this case and replace it with the local
- 10 telephone company, which I think does business as
- 11 Illinois Bell Telephone Company.
- 12 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: And is
- 13 commonly known as -- what are you going by these
- 14 days?
- MR. HUTTENHOWER: I think we're commonly known
- 16 as AT&T Illinois; but for I think most of the period
- 17 that the complainant is concerned about, we were SBC
- 18 Illinois.
- 19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay, and
- 20 Mr. Apedo N'Doagni, for the record, your dispute is
- 21 with the local carrier, right, not the long-distance
- 22 carrier?

- 1 MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: Uh-huh.
- 2 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. So
- 3 you don't care if I direct the clerk -- in fact, you
- 4 do care because this would be the correct way to do
- 5 it, if I direct the clerk's office to change their
- 6 heading and put SBC back on the way you had it
- 7 originally.
- 8 MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: Yeah.
- 9 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. So on
- 10 that basis, Mr. Chorzempa, your motion is granted and
- 11 I will issue a ruling directing the clerk to leave it
- 12 alone.
- MR. CHORZEMPA: Thank you, your Honor. With
- that, I'll leave you all.
- 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay.
- 16 Mr. Huttenhower, do you got a copy of the bills
- 17 attached to the complaint?
- 18 MR. HUTTENHOWER: Yes.
- 19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: I'm curious
- 20 as to -- and I looked at this just on a very cursory
- 21 basis, but it seems like every month his phone bill
- 22 was a different -- he had a different package or

- 1 something.
- MR. HUTTENHOWER: Well, I guess, the first
- 3 thing I noticed was that there are actually bills for
- 4 two different accounts.
- 5 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Oh.
- Do you have two different phone
- 7 numbers?
- MR. HUTTENHOWER: Well, from what I can tell,
- 9 there's -- there were bills from August 2004 through
- 10 April 2005 under a phone number of (773) 334-2946.
- 11 And then that account ended, and then he has a phone
- 12 bills from August 2005 through February 2006 under a
- different phone number.
- 14 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. Did
- 15 you move or something or just change phone numbers?
- 16 MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: No. I'm not changing the
- 17 phone number. The company changed the phone numbers,
- 18 not me.
- 19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. So
- 20 the company changed the phone numbers and so you just
- 21 switched?
- MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: Yeah.

- 1 MR. HUTTENHOWER: There's a gap of a couple
- 2 months. So I don't quite know how to explain that.
- But -- and sort of circling back to
- 4 the question you asked Judge Sainsot, it appears from
- 5 looking at the billings that, from time to time, the
- 6 package or, you know, mix of services on the account
- 7 was changed. You know, sometimes there was some kind
- 8 of package; sometimes there was no package.
- 9 And my understanding from looking at
- 10 some of our notes for the account, at least for the
- 11 second account, the more recent one, is that these
- 12 changes were made at the complainant's request to try
- 13 and reduce the amount of the bill, you know, on a
- 14 monthly basis.
- 15 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: This would
- 16 helped if I had paid more attention to this.
- 17 So like the bill from October -- for
- October of '04, that was a \$23 bill and then are you
- 19 saying he -- oh, actually, you're saying you don't
- 20 have the records for the '04.
- 21 MR. HUTTENHOWER: I have just the bill pages he
- included. I don't have the notes from -- you know,

- 1 that might explain, you know, customer called in on a
- 2 given day to ask for this or something.
- I guess we had no -- we had an
- 4 informal complaint about the more recent account, but
- 5 we didn't have any informal complaint about the
- 6 account from 2004 to 2005. That one was, as far as
- 7 we could tell, satisfactory; that, you know, there
- 8 were bills. They were paid. And as far as I can
- 9 tell, that -- the account was canceled at the
- 10 customer's request. I don't know.
- 11 And then, you know, five or six months
- 12 later, he reestablished service under the new number.
- 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Can you tell
- 14 me how much you think AT&T has overbilled you.
- MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: Yeah. Before AT&T -- SBC,
- 16 AT&T send the paper for my poster. Where I open my
- 17 poster, I see AT&T paper, and they say you come and
- 18 your company -- you're going to pay \$12.
- 19 So I pay a lot of money in SBC. So
- 20 why I call AT&T to switch to go to the AT&T, but the
- 21 SBC said, no, I want to stay with the SBC seven or
- 22 six months.

- I called my brother. I tell my
- 2 brother. My brother tell me, no. If you want to
- 3 switch, you want to switch. They can't tell me I
- 4 want to stay six months or seven months. No. So I
- 5 call AT&T. Okay. Why I call AT&T, AT&T said, okay.
- 6 I give my information. I give you my information
- 7 because we talk on the telephone.
- 8 So they tell me I want to wait. I
- 9 wait. They call somebody. I don't know who they
- 10 called. But when they come back, they tell me the
- 11 service is closed. So they're going to call me back.
- 12 They no call me back.
- 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: But how much
- money do you think they owe you?
- How much money do you think they owe
- 16 you?
- 17 MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: 15. \$15.
- 18 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: \$15? You
- 19 think they owe you \$15?
- 20 MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: And they tell me it's \$15.
- 21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: That's all
- the money you're disputing?

- 1 MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: Yeah.
- So I didn't switch. So why AT&T
- 3 telling me the service is closed?
- 4 Okay. I stay with SBC. I pay.
- 5 Sometime when they send you the bill, the bill is
- 6 coming on 97, \$75. It's too much. With the job I
- 7 have, I don't have the money.
- 8 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: So are you
- 9 saying \$97 is how much they -- how much do you
- 10 think -- let me try to put this away. In your --
- 11 another way.
- 12 In your complaint, you say that they
- 13 overbilled you; that there was some things you didn't
- 14 want. How much?
- MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: 15.
- 16 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: 15 for how
- long?
- For how long?
- 19 MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: No. She tell me I want to
- 20 pay 15 in a month.
- 21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Right. But
- 22 how much money do you think that they took that they

- 1 shouldn't have taken?
- 2 You may not know exactly. And if you
- 3 don't know, that's okay.
- 4 MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: Yeah. I don't know.
- 5 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Let me ask
- 6 you something, do you have family here with you?
- 7 MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: No.
- 8 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: No family at
- 9 all?
- 10 MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: No. I have a wife in my
- 11 country. Why I'm coming here, I'm working. I send
- 12 her the money to my wife to coming. She go to the
- 13 New York. She didn't come here because she do
- something in my country for my family. That's why
- 15 she didn't come here immediately. She go to the New
- 16 York area.
- 17 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Where are
- 18 you from?
- 19 MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: I'm from Togo.
- 20 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: You're from
- 21 Togo?
- MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: Yeah.

- 1 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Do you speak
- 2 French?
- 3 MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: Yeah.
- 4 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Well, too
- 5 bad Mr. Huttenhower doesn't. No, I'm just -- I'm
- 6 sorry. That was just -- I'm sorry. I just --
- 7 MR. HUTTENHOWER: I might follow a bit, but I
- 8 don't know that I want to say much.
- 9 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: My father's
- 10 French. That's why he made that noise.
- 11 Do you have someone you could bring
- 12 with you to translate either in your native language
- or in French for you?
- MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: The guy I want to bring,
- 15 he tell me go to the worker. That's why he didn't
- 16 come in.
- 17 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: I didn't
- 18 quite hear that.
- MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: I say I have a friend. He
- 20 speak good English and French too. I tell him. He
- 21 tell me. You go for the worker because he didn't
- 22 come in.

- 1 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: He doesn't
- 2 want to come?
- 3 MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: He don't.
- 4 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: If you
- 5 called him and asked him now, do you think he'd come
- 6 if you called him on the phone and said, Could you
- 7 come with me next time?
- 8 MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: I can give you the number.
- 9 You can call him.
- 10 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Do you have
- 11 a phone with you? Do you have a cell phone with you?
- MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: No, I don't have a cell
- 13 phone.
- 14 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. Well,
- 15 what about if I let you use this phone and you see if
- 16 you can call him.
- 17 MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: Okay.
- 18 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. Do
- 19 you have his phone number with you?
- 20 MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: Yeah. 773 --
- 21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: You can talk
- 22 to him. We'll leave the room for five minutes. Just

- 1 find out a date, just a business day. Some time
- 2 that's convenient for him within the next month.
- 3 Could you get maybe two dates and two times so just
- 4 in case Mr. Huttenhower's schedule --
- 5 MR. HUTTENHOWER: I know that I'm going to be
- on vacation the week of September 18th. So that
- 7 would not be a good week.
- B Did you follow that or not?
- 9 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: You can go
- 10 off the record.
- 11 (Whereupon, a discussion
- was had off the record.)
- 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. For
- 14 the record, we are continuing this case until
- 15 September 25th, which is a Monday, at 11:00 o'clock.
- 16 And at that time, hopefully, I will have a translator
- 17 here as well.
- 18 Is that okay with you,
- 19 Mr. Huttenhower?
- MR. HUTTENHOWER: That's fine.
- 21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. So
- 22 I'll see you then. Okay?

1	MR. KODJO N'DOAGNI: Okay. Thank you.
2	(Whereupon, further proceedings
3	in the above-entitled matter
4	was continued to September 25,
5	2006, at 11:00 a.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	